

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case: 2:22-cr-20631 Judge: Michelson, Laurie J.

MJ: Grey, Jonathan J.C. Filed: 11-22-2022 At 12:10 PM

INDI USA V DAVID OWEN RAGER JR (SS)

DAVID OWEN RAGER, JR.,

Defendant.

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE DISTRIBUTION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY (18 U.S.C. §§ 2252A(a)(2) and 2252A(b)(1))

On or about June 8, 2021, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant, DAVID OWEN RAGER, JR., knowingly distributed material that contained child pornography, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2256(8)(A), that had been mailed, shipped, and transported in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce by any means, including by computer; and using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252A(a)(2) and 2252A(b)(1).

COUNT TWO POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY (18 U.S.C. §§ 2252A(a)(5) and 2252A(b)(2))

Beginning in approximately February 2022 and continuing until on or about August 18, 2022, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant, DAVID OWEN RAGER, JR. knowingly possessed, and accessed with the intent to view, material that contained child pornography, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2256(8)(A), which involved a prepubescent minor and a minor who had not attained 12 years of age, that had been mailed, shipped, and transported using a means and facility of interstate and foreign commerce, including a computer, and was produced using materials that had been mailed, shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce by any means, including a computer, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2252A(a)(5) and 2252A(b)(2).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION (18 U.S.C. § 2253)

Upon conviction of Counts One and/or Two alleged in this Indictment,
DAVID OWEN RAGER, JR., shall, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2253, forfeit to the
United States the following:

- i. Any visual depiction described in 18 U.S.C. §§ 2251, 2251A, or 2252, 2252A, 2252B or 2260, or any book, magazine, periodical, film, videotape, or other matter which contains any such visual depiction, which was produced, transported, mailed, shipped, or received in violation of these subsections;
- ii. Any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross

profits or other proceeds obtained from such offense; and

iii. Any property, real or personal, used or intended to be used to commit or to promote the commission of such offense or any property traceable to such property.

If any of the property described in the paragraphs above as being forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2253, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant --

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred to, sold to, or deposited with a third party;
- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of this Court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty;

the United States of America, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), intends to seek forfeiture of all other property of the defendant up to the value of the above-described forfeitable property.

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/Grand Jury Foreperson

GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

Date: November 22, 2022

DAWN N. ISON United States Attorney

s/Brandy R. McMillion

BRANDY R. McMILLION Chief, General Crimes Unit Assistant United States Attorney

s/Christopher W. Rawsthorne

CHRISTOPHER W. RAWSTHORNE Assistant United States Attorney

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Co	Judge: Michelson.	Laurie J
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. A	Attorney signing this form to con	MJ: Grey, Jonatha Filed: 11-22-2022 A INDI USA V DAVID	n J.C. At 12:10 PM OWEN RAGER JR (SS)
Companion Case Information		Companion Case Numb	per:
This may be a companion case based upor	1 LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :	Judge Assigned:	
☐ Yes ⊠ No		AUSA's Initials: CW	
Case Title: USA v. DAVID O	WEN RAGER, JR.		
County where offense occur	rred:Wayne and else	where	
Check One:	□Misd	emeanor	□Petty
Indictment/Info	rmation no prior comp rmation based upon p rmation based upon L	rior complaint [Case nur	
Superseding Case Informatio			
Superseding to Case No:		Judge:	
Corrects errors; no addition Involves, for plea purpose Embraces same subject r	onal charges or defendan	ts. lds counts.	rges below:
☐ Corrects errors; no addition	onal charges or defendan	ts. lds counts. onal defendants or cha	rges below: Complaint (if applicable)
Corrects errors; no addition involves, for plea purposed Embraces same subject representation in the control of	onal charges or defendances, different charges or ac matter but adds the additi Char	ts. lds counts. onal defendants or cha ges Prior	Complaint (if applicable)
Corrects errors; no addition Involves, for plea purpose Embraces same subject recommendation Defendant name Please take notice that the below	onal charges or defendances, different charges or ac matter but adds the additi Char	ts. lds counts. onal defendants or cha ges Prior	Complaint (if applicable)
Corrects errors; no addition Involves, for plea purposed Embraces same subject represented the control of the c	onal charges or defendances, different charges or ac matter but adds the additi Char	ts. lds counts. onal defendants or cha ges Prior	Complaint (if applicable)
Corrects errors; no addition Involves, for plea purposed Embraces same subject representation Defendant name Please take notice that the below the above captioned case.	onal charges or defendances, different charges or according to the addition of	ts. Ids counts. Id	Complaint (if applicable)
Corrects errors; no addition Involves, for plea purposed Embraces same subject represented the control of the c	onal charges or defendances, different charges or according to the addition of	ts. Ids counts. Id	Complaint (if applicable)
Corrects errors; no addition Involves, for plea purposed Embraces same subject represented the control of the c	conal charges or defendances, different charges or according to the addition of the addition o	ts. Ids counts. Id	Complaint (if applicable)
Corrects errors; no addition Involves, for plea purposed Embraces same subject represented the control of the c	conal charges or defendances, different charges or accommatter but adds the addition Charges Charges Christophere Assistant Unite Assistant Unite Chroit, MI Phone: (313) Fax: (313)	ts. Ids counts. Id	Complaint (if applicable) the attorney of record for

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.